File No. 506
1904.

Collection No.

Singapore Residency

27/2/47

Pros. Serial Nos.

Subject.

Succession certificate to Lakshmi Boi, widow of C. Subba Rao of Honnali

Previous References.

F 230/06.

Later References.

5, 97. (Blue).

C F P—6788&P—(G·1085)—10 3·30—1,00,000.

E. P. B.—6-1904—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904

Application of Lakshmi Bayi
~~Letter~~ No. ~~dated~~ presented on 21st
~~from~~ December 1904 widow of C. Subba Rao deceased

SUBJECT.

For a Succession Certificate under section 17 Act VII of 1889 in regard to the sum of Rs. 2915-14-0 due under a Policy issued by the Oriental Govt. Security Life Assurance Company Ltd Bombay

For the Hon'ble the Resident.

Besides the petitioner (widow) and her 4½ minor daughters the deceased ~~has~~ appears to have left four 2 undivided brothers (vide para 1 of the petition). Notice of the application may be published in three successive issues of the Mysore Gazette and Special notices may be issued to the brothers of the deceased. The application may be (if approved) posted to some date in February 1905—say Saturday the 11th

23.12.04.

28/12

Rls 2&3. Issued Nos 107 and 108 dated 7-1-05.



B. P. B.—6-1904.—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904

415

Letter No. 241 dated 11th January 1905
from the Munsiff of Dodballapur

SUBJECT.

Application of Lakshmi Bayi
for succession certificate

The notice returned, duly served, with the above may be recorded.

17-1-05 17/1

4

F. P. B.—6-1904.—1,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904

cl 7

Order
~~Letter No.~~ ——— dated 1st February 1905
~~from~~ of the Hon'ble the Resident.

SUBJECT.

in Re application under section 17 of Act VII 1889 of Lakshmi Bayi for Succession Certificate with respect to the Estate of her late husband C. Subba Rao.

In the above order it is directed that the certificate may be granted on ~~the~~ Condition that Security is given to the amount of Rs 2915 with one Surety to the Satisfaction of the Deputy Commr of Bangalore

Draft letter to the Darbar with a draft of Security bond is submitted for approval

By
2.3.05 H
2/3

Resident
3.3

S.S. Issued No. 1368 dated 8.3.05

76



b. P.E.—9-1904.—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904

sl 11

Petition
~~Letter No.~~ dated 6th July 1905
from C. Suryanarayana Rao.

SUBJECT.

praying that the succession certificate granted to one Lakshmi Bayi, for whom he stood security, may be cancelled, &c.

sl 10

putup

In this case one Lakshmi Bayi, widow of one C. Subba Row, a pleader in the Mysore Province, was granted a succession certificate by the Resident under Sec. 17 of Act VII of 1889 to collect a sum of Rs. 2915-14-0 due on a policy held by her deceased husband in the Oriental Govt. Security Life Assurance Coy: (Ld.) Bombay. The present petitioner, C. Surya Narayana Rao, one of the brothers of the deceased Subba Row, became the surety for the widow Lakshmi Bayi, rendering accounts & indemnifying persons who may

may be entitled to the said amount or any portion thereof (See. 9(1) of the Act) and executed a bond, dated 5th May 1905 to that effect jointly with the said Lakshmi Bayi. sl 9/A

2. In his petition under disposal Surya Narayana Rao, the surety aforesaid, states that his deceased brother's widow Lakshmi Bayi with her children (four daughters of whom one appears to be married - vide sl. 1) who were living with him has removed herself with her children to her son-in-law's house and requests that, as the aforesaid money, if paid to Lakshmi Bayi, would be misappropriated, he may

be

Notes—continued.

be allowed to withdraw from his suretyship, (2) that the certificate granted to Lakshmi Bayi may be cancelled, by the Resident and (3) that an order may be issued, to the Company's (Oriental Life Assurance) Agent at Bangalore to withhold payment of the money until other satisfactory security is furnished by the grantee of the certificate

3. As regards the discharge of the surety the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, does not contain any provisions, and sections 133 to 135 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872, on the subject of the discharge of surety, do not appear to be applicable to the present case. Unless & until the surety bond executed by the petitioner is cancelled, he is bound by it. If approved, Lakshmi Bayi may be called upon to show cause why the petitioner's surety bond should not

put up

not be cancelled and why she should not be required to furnish another ~~security~~ surety instead.

4. Regarding the petitioner's prayer for the revocation of the certificate granted to Lakshmi Bayi, this can only be done, it is submitted, ~~that~~ for any of the causes set forth in Section 18 of the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, and the petitioner has not yet proved that there has been any such cause. There seems therefore no reason now to cancel the certificate.

5. As regards the issue of an order to the Company's Agent to withhold payment of the money in question. If as the petitioner says (vide para 7 of the petition) he & certain others are filing a suit in the District Court, C & M Stn for a declaration of the legal claims to the property of the deceased, it is for him & them to move that Court

to

B. P.F.—12-1904—2,000.

7

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904

~~Letter No.~~ Memorandum dated 24th August 1905 from Mr. C. Vedaji Rao Sahib, Advocate for Lakshmi Bayi, grantee of a Succession Certificate.

SUBJECT.

Submitting the names of 2 fresh Sureties in the place of the former Surety Suryanarayana Rao who has been discharged.

Sl 20

Sl 11

Please see the Hon'ble the Resident's order, accepting the application of Suryanarayana Rao (Original Surety) to be discharged from the Suretyship and directing Lakshmi Bayi, the grantee of the Certificate to produce fresh Sureties.

2 The Sureties now named by the grantee in the memo: under disposal are stated to be residing in Goribidnur Taluk Kolar District. The usual draft-letter (with form) to the Darbar forwarding the draft of a fresh Security bond to be executed by the grantee and her new Sureties is submitted below for approval.

Not submitted

31.8.05 M 31/8

P.T.O

Issued No. 5048 dated 6-9-05 S.22

R. F.B.—7-1903.—1,000.

9

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904

Pol
Letter No. 323 dated 30th October 1905
from the Mysore Darbar

Dl 23

SUBJECT.

Execution of a Security bond by Lakshmi Bayi and her Sureties -

The petitioner's Counsel Mr. Vedaji Rao Sahib has been personally informed of the fact of the Sureties named in Dl 21 having declined to execute the bond and he has promised to Communicate with his client the petitioner with a view to fresh Sureties being found -

The letter under disposal may be filed -

6.11.05 6.11.05

E. P.B.—6-1907.—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904

~~Letter No.~~ dated the 7th November 1907

sl 24. from Lakshmi Bai - Petitioner.

SUBJECT.

Furnishing fresh security.

Please see sl 20.

sl 25. The usual draft to the Durbar is submitted for approval.

BG
8/11/07.

NS
9/11

R. P. B.—2-1908.—2,000.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE.

Note Sheet.

File No. 506 of 1904 sl 29.

~~Letter No.~~ Applicn dated from Kassim Sheriff

SUBJECT.

For a copy of ~~the~~ the order or judgment in R. O. File No 506 of 1904.

There is more than one order in this file. Please see sls 7 & 20. The petitioner may be asked to specify the date of the order of which a copy is wanted.

BG
9/3/09. 9/3/09

The memo (sl 30) produced to-day makes it clear that it is a copy of sl 20 that is required.

If approved, a copy of sl 20 may be granted to the petitioner on payment of the copying fee.

BG
25/3/09. 25/3/09

Copy issued to applicant on 3-4-09

RESIDENT'S OFFICE
BANGALORE
Register No. 1873
Date of Receipt 2/4/13
File No. 506/13

In the Court of the Resident of Mysore
at Bangalore.

Application No 506 of 1904

Petitioner
Lakshmi Bai

The undersigned prays for a Copy of the order passed by the Resident on the 9th August 1905 re Estate of C. Subba Rao. and for this purpose Copying fees of Rupee one are herewith produced.

Bangalore
2nd April 1913.

Advocate.

Copy may be granted

3.4.13

Send copy of the order

3.4.13



Value of the stamp paper submitted Rs 58-6

with Rs 58-6-0.

Receipt Kept in cash book R 58/57.

To 21/12/04

The Honourable the British Resident of Mysore at Bangalore,

RESIDENT'S OFFICE, BANGALORE.
Register No. 6281
Date of Receipt 21-12-1904
File No. 56/04

In the matter of the Estate of the deceased C. Subba Row, 1st Grade Pleader, at Honnali

Petition of Lakshmi Bayi daughter of N. Ramachar[illegible], now residing in City Bangalore under section 17 of Act VII of 1889.

Most respectfully sheweth:—

I That Petitioner's husband C. Subba Rao who was practising as 1st Grade Pleader at Honnali, Shimoga District died at Honnali on or about 1st September 1904 leaving two brothers viz C. Suriya Narayana Row, Clerk, Sub Registrar's office city Bangalore, and C. Raghavendra Rao, Nazir of the Munsiff's Court at Dodda Ballapur and also Petitioner and 4 daughters viz Padmavati Bayi, aged 14 years, Raja Bayi aged 11 years, Kamala Bayi aged 5 years and Saraswathi Bayi aged 4 months.

II That C. Surijanarayana Rao and C. Raghavendra Rao have been living separately though undivided and have no interest in the Policy No. 26862. of the deceased C. Subba Rao who insured his life in the Oriental Govt. Security Life Assurance Company Limited, Bombay for the sum of rupees 3000-0-0 which was made for the benefit of the Petitioner

III That Padmavati Bayi is living with her husband and her minor sisters Rama Bayi, Kamala Bayi and Saraswathi Bayi are living under the protection and guardianship of the Petitioner at Bangalore city.

IV That C. Subba Rao when alive borrowed a sum of rupees 157-1-0 on the security of his above Policy for the purposes of paying premiums and keeping up the Policy in force and hence the said Policy is now with the Assurance office as per letter dated 30th November 1904 from the Company's Bangalore Agency office as also rupees 89-1-0 due by the Estate to the Company inclusive of interest on the Premium loan

V That there are no other heirs entitled

to succeed to the insured amount due to the Estate of the deceased C. Subba Rao except the Petitioner

VI Wherefore Petitioner prays that a certificate under Section 17 of the Succession Certificate Act VII of 1889 may be given empowering her to recover the assured sum as per schedule attached from the Oriental Govt. security Life Assurance Company, Limited, Bombay which will in all probability amount to rupees 2915-14-0 more or less.

Bangalore
Dated December 1904

This is bangle mark of Lakshmi boyi written by her husband's brother C. Raghavendra Rao

Advocate for the Petitioner

I the Petitioner above named do hereby declare that what is stated above, is true to the best of my knowledge and belief; except as to matters stated on information and belief and as to those matters I believe the same to be true.

This is bangle mark of Lakshmi boyi written by her husbands' brother C. Raghavendra Rao.

Documents filed with this petition

1 Bangalore

This is bangle mark of Lakshmi boyi written by her husbands' brother C. Raghavendra Rao.

Schedule of the outstanding amount due to the Estate from the Oriental Govt. Security Life Assurance Company Limited

as per Policy No. 26862 bd rupees	3000-0-0	
Bonuses	162-0-0	
	3162-0-0	

Amount due by the Estate to the Oriental Govt. Security and Life Assurance Company Limited Bombay

Loan on Policy	157-1-0	
Half years premium due	77-1-0	
Interest on the Loan	12-0-0	
	246-2-0	246-2-0
Balance due more or less		2915-14-0

This bangle mark is that of Lakshmi Boyi. Written by her husband's brother C. Raghavendrarao.

ORIENTAL GOVERNMENT SECURITY LIFE ASSURANCE COMPANY, Limited.

In all communications quote No. of Policy.

BANGALORE AGENCY,

No. 1, South Parade,

30th November 1904

ERNEST HAYES,
AGENT.

Mrs. L. Lakshmi Bai C/o
C. Raghavendra Rao Esq
Nazir Munsiffs Court
Doddballapur.

Dear Madam.

Policy No. 26862. C.S. Rao (Deed)

With reference to your letter of the 21st Instant I have to inform you that the Para referred to by you only relates to a lost policy and that a copy cannot be given to any other person except the assured or his legal representative. Seeing however the Original policy is mortgaged with us we will send our office copy to you on your undertaking to return it as soon as possible when no longer required. The letter of undertaking should be signed before a witness

Yours faithfully
Ernest Hayes
Agent



COURT FEE

TWO RUPEES

In H. Residency
19th December 1904
M. Bhima Rau

Office

IN THE ~~COURT~~ OF THE Honorable the British Residency
of Mysore at Bangalore
File No CASE OF 1904

(PLAINTIFF,) Petitioner VS. DEFENDANT.
Lakshmi Bayi

I. I Lakshmi Bayi the Petitioner by these presents retain MR. M. BHIMA RAU for me and in my name to conduct the aforesaid Case.

II. Further empower him to Execute any decree that may be passed in my favour and to recover and receive all and every sums and sum of money or other property and to grant sufficent receipts for the same.

III. And I undertake to ratify and confirm all legal acts done or caused to be done by my said Advocate in the above case by virtue of these presents in witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand this 19th day of December 1904.

This bayple mark is that of Lakshmi Bayi - Written by her husbands brother C. Raghavendra Rao.

Certified that the party Executing this Power of Attorney in my favor signed it before me and is well known to me.

Accepted.

Advocate for the Petitioner

Advocate.

Vichara Darpana Press, Bangalore 1899.

№ 106.

2

Notice of application for certificate under Section 17 Act VII of 1889.

Form No. 54, Civil.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No. 506 of 1904

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rao late First Grade Reader at Hosmali (Attnm)

deceased.

Lakshmi Bayi — *Applicant.*

WHEREAS Lakshmi Bayi

resident of Bangalore City

claiming to be the widow of the said C. Subba Rao — deceased,

has applied for a certificate under section 17, Act VII of 1889, to collect Rs 2915-14-0 due to the estate of the said deceased, resident of Hosmali, from the Oriental Govt Security, Life Assurance Company, Limited, Bombay.

NOTICE is hereby given of the said application; and all claimants in the estate of the said C. Subba Rao — deceased are invited to appear before the Hon'ble the Resident at Bangalore, on Saturday the 11th of February 1905 at 11 A. M., when the petition of the said Lakshmi Bayi will be heard, and the right to the certificate determined.

GIVEN under my hand and seal this 2nd day of January 1905.

"By Order"

H. S. Tirumalai Aiyangar

Registrar.

R. P. B.—8-1904.—100.

To The Compiler Mysore Gazette with Rs 5/-

" be posted on Notice Board.

5.2

Notice of application for certificate under Section 17 Act VII of 1889

Form No. 54, Civil.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No. 506 of 1904

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rao, late First Grade Pleader at Honali, Kadur District, deceased.

Lakshmi Bayi — *Applicant.*

WHEREAS Lakshmi Bayi resident of Bangalore City claiming to be the widow of the said C. Subba Rao — deceased, has applied for a certificate under section 17, Act VII of 1889, to collect Rs. 2915-14-0 due to the estate of the said deceased, resident of Honali, from the Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company, Limited, Bombay under Policy No. 26,882

NOTICE is hereby given of the said application; and all claimants in the estate of the said C. Subba Rao deceased are invited to appear before the Hon'ble the Resident at Bangalore, on Saturday the 11th of February 1905 at 11 A. M., when the petition of the said Lakshmi Bayi will be heard, and the right to the certificate determined.

GIVEN under my hand and seal this 2nd day of January 1905.

INDIA COURT FEE INDIA ½ ½ For Mr. Bhimacher 19th December 1904 W. Brooke Treasury EIGHT ANNAS

"By Order"

G. S. Tirumalacharya

Registrar.

RESIDENT IN MYSORE AND CHIEF COMMISSIONER OF COORG.

H. P. B.—8-1904.—100.

C. Raghavendra Rao, Nazir. Munsiff's Court - Doddaballapur

Copy taken

Washington Star

9. 1. 05

Served personally.

H. Macomber

H. S. Miracle

9-1-05

R. P. B.—3-04.—250.

84

1905.

FROM

THE REGISTRAR OF THE ~~COURT~~ OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE,

~~CIVIL & MILITARY STATION~~,

BANGALORE.

To

THE Principal Munsiff of Bangalore

Dated Bangalore 7th January 1905

Despatched — do —

Received

ENCLOSURE No. 2

General No. 108 / *No.* } 1905—0.

Has the honor to forward herewith a notice in duplicate issued in file No 506 of 1904 and to request that the duplicate may be caused to be served on the person named in the margin and the original returned to this office, before the day of hearing specified therein, with the mode of service duly

C. Surya Narayana Rao
Clerk, Sub Registrar's
office Bangalore City

R. Court
17-1-05

1904-05.

5

RESIDENT'S OFFICE, BANGALORE.
Register No. 224
Date of Receipt 16-1-05
File No. 506
1904

FROM S. Venkoba Rao Esquire,
Munsiff of Doddballapur

1411

To The Registrar of the
Office of the Honorable.
The Resident in
Mysore.

Dated 11th January 1905.

Despatched

Received

General No. 211.
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Sir,

With reference to your
83 No. 107 dated 7th instant,
I have the honor to sub-
-mit herewith the notice
in Application in file
No. 506 of 1904 duly served
upon Ragvendra Rao,
Nazir of this Court.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient Servant
Venkoba Rao
Munsiff.

MGGPB—3-3-01—50 reams.

Register No. 498
Date of Receipt 28-1-05
File No. 506/04

Mysore 1904-05 6.

From
A. T. Gopalaingar Esquire B.A
B.L.
Principal Munsiff
Bangalore

To
The Registrar of the office of
the Honorable the Resident in
Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 26th January 1905

Despatched

Received

General No. 834
No. 643

Enclosure No.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 108 dated 7th instant, I have the honor to return duly served notices in Application in file No. 506 of 1904 before the Honorable the Resident in Mysore at

Notice of application for certificate under Section 17 Act VII of 1889.

Form No. 54, Civil.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No. 506 of 1904.

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rau, late First Grade Pleader at Honnali, but now *deceased.*

Lakshmi Bayi *Applicant.*

WHEREAS Lakshmi Bayi resident of Bangalore City claiming to be the widow of the said C. Subba Rau deceased, has applied for a certificate under section 17, Act VII of 1889, to collect ₹ 2915-14-0 due to the estate of the said deceased, resident of Honnali, from the Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company Limited, Bombay, under Policy No 26,862

NOTICE is hereby given of the said application; and all claimants in the estate of the said C. Subba Rau —deceased are invited to appear before the Hon'ble the Resident at Bangalore, on Saturday the 11th of February 1905 at 11 A. M., when the petition of the said Lakshmi Bayi will be heard, and the right to the certificate determined.

GIVEN under my hand and seal this 2nd day of January 1905.

INDIA COURT FEE INDIA ½R. ½R. Rec'd. Cheque for 19th December 1904, Rs. 15 Nett Balance EIGHT ANNAS

"By Order"

R. S. Tirumalai Ayyangar

Registrar.

R. P. B.—8-1904.—100.

Surya Narayana Rau, Clerk Sub-Registrar's office Bangalore City

No. 1368 Income

8

SOM

FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT

IN MYSORE.

To

The Dewan of Mysore

Dated Bangalore, 8th March 1905.

SIR,

I am directed to state that on the application of one Lakshmi Bayi, widow of C. Subba Rao, late 1st grade pleader at Hosmali but now deceased, residing in the City of Bangalore for a succession certificate under section 17 of Act VII of 1889 in respect to the sum of Rupees (2915-14-0) ——— due to the estate of her late husband the said C. Subba Rao under Policy No 26,862 issued by the Oriental Govt Security Life Assurance Company, Limited, Bombay

the Hon'ble the Resident has directed the grant of the certificate on the petitioner furnishing a bond with one surety for the said sum, to be approved by the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore.

2. I am to request that the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore district may be asked to call upon the petitioner to furnish the security bond, duly executed on a British India general stamp paper of the value of Rs. five in the accompanying form, and that the same may be caused to be forwarded to this office on completion.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C 613

Sdj R.M. King
First Assistant Resident.

R. P. B.—2-05.—100.

2/3/05

10

Form of security bond under section 9 (1) of Act VII of 1889.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No. 506 of 1904 for a certificate under section 17 of Act VII of 1889.

In the matter of the estate of C. Subbarao, late 1st grade pleader at Honnali — but now deceased

Petitioner. Lakshmi Bayi, widow of the said C. Subba Rao, residing in Bangalore city.

Surety.

Know all men by these presents that we Lakshmi Bayi, petitioner and

residing at .

surety, are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rs. (2915—0—0) Two thousand nine hundred and fifteen to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore, for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, our, and each of our heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally.

Dated this day of 190 .

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Lakshmi Bayi ——— the grantee of the certificate shall within one year from the grant to her of a certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, exhibit in the office of the said British Resident in Mysore, a full and true account of all moneys received by her — on account of Policy No 26862 issued by the Oriental Govt Security Life Assurance Company (Limited), Bombay and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect, otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

Witnesses.

1 Signature.

2 Signature.

G.R.S. 48]

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Pol No. 935
Encl

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dated Bangalore 11th May 1905.

From

B. K. VENKATA VARADA IYENGAR, ESQ.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

General & Revenue Department.

RESIDENT'S OFFICE, BANGALORE.
Registered No. 2571/4
Date of Receipt 13-5-05
File No. 506/04

To

R. C. H. MOSS-KING, ESQ., I.C.S.,

First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

SIR,

S.8 Adverting to your letter No. 1368, dated the 8th March 1905, I am directed to forward herewith, the bond executed in proper form by Lakshmi Bayi, widow of the late C. Subba Rao, 1st Grade Pleader at Honnali, and her surety C Suryanarayana Rao, Clerk, Bangalore City Sub-Registry Office, both residing in Chamarajpete, Bangalore City.

2. The Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District reports that C. Suryanarayana Rao, the surety furnished by Lakshmi Bayi, is her husband's brother, and owns a bungalow and a house in Chamarajpet of the Bangalore City, valued at Rs 8,000/- in which he has a joint interest for half the share, and that he may be accepted as a surety

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary.

MGCPB-4,000-24-2-05

5 Rs.



Before the Honorable the Resident in Mysore
at Bangalore

Application in File No. 506 of 1904 for a certificate under Section 17 of Act VII of 1889

In the Matter of the estate of C. Subba Rao, late 1st grade Pleader at Honnali but now deceased.

Petitioner - Lakshmi Bayi, widow of the said C. Subba Rao, residing in Bangalore city.

Surety :- C. Surya Narain Rao, Clerk City Sub-Registry Office residing in Chamarajpet Bangalore city.

Know all men by these presents that we Lakshmi Bayi Petitioner, and C. Surya Narain Rao Clerk City Sub Registry Office, residing at Chamarajpet Bangalore city Surety, are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rs 2915/- two thousand nine hundred and fifteen only to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore, for which payment to be made we

Sold to C. Suryanarayana Rao & Laxmibai

B Peerbhoy
Treasurer.

THE RESIDENT'S TREASURY, BANGALORE
No.
2 - MAY 1905

we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, our, and each of our heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally.

Dated this fifth day of May 1905.

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Lakshmi Bayi, the grantee of the Certificate, shall within one year from the grant to her of a certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, exhibit in the Office of the said British Resident in Mysore, a full and true account of all moneys received by her on account of Policy No. 26862 issued by the Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company (Limited) Bombay, and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect, otherwise it shall remain in full force.

Witnesses

1.

2.

Signature

C Suryanarayana Rao

Before me,
M Krishnaswamy Rao
Assistant Magistrate
Bangalore North
5/5/1905

BANGALORE

To be engrossed on
Rs. 2. Stamp paper
of the Value of Rs. 58-6-0

10

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore
at Bangalore.

—:o:—

Application in file No. 506 of 1904 under section 17 of Act VII of 1889.

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rao, late a First Grade Pleader at Hosmali but now deceased.

~~but now deceased.~~

To Lakshmi Bayi, widow of the said C. Subba Rao, residing in Bangalore city.

Whereas you applied on the 21st day of December 1904 ~~1905~~ for a certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, in respect of the following debt, namely :—

Serial number.	Name of debtor.	Amount of debt, including interest on date of application for certificate.	Description and date of instrument, if any, by which the debt is secured.
1	Oriental Govt Security Life Assurance Company (Limited), Bombay.	Rs. 2915-14-0 (Rs. two thousand nine hundred and fifteen and annas fourteen only)	Policy No. 26862

This certificate is accordingly granted to you and empowers you to collect the aforementioned debt.

Dated Bangalore, this ~~16~~ 22nd day of May 1905.

C 21/5

1675

Resident.

15-5-05 1675

R. P. B.—2-05.—100.



7/16

In the Court of the Honourable the
British Resident in Mysore,
at Bangalore.

Petitioner. C. Seriya Narayana
Rau, clerk city Sale
Registrar's office,
Bangalore.

Register No. 3517
Date of Receipt 6/7/05
File No. 506/04
8/7/04

The petitioner humbly begs
to state as follows: —

1 That the petitioner had
an undivided brother by name
C. Soobba Rau, who was a pleader
at Honnali, Shimoga district,
and that he died on the 1st Sept.
1904.

2. That after his death his
wife and children were under
the petitioner's protection till
the day before yesterday.

3 That the said C. Soobba
Rau had insured on his own
life in the Oriental Company
at Bombay for Rs 3000 — —
that the petitioner himself
arranged for the petition being
filled in the name of his mother-
in-law Lakshmee Royle,
being the deceased's wife, in this
Honourable Court for a
certificate

6/7/05

certificate under the Succession Certificate Regulation in file. Suit No. 516 of 1904.

4 That the petitioner in obtaining the aforesaid certificate stood as surety for Lakshmee Royee for the amount, as the latter was then faithful and trustworthy to the petitioner, and as she and her children lived with him since her husband's death.

vide Enclosure bound at p. 9 A

5 That the said Lakshmee Royee, set up by her son-in-law, Ex-parte Annoomunthia Row and her father Ramachar and others, has been so ill-advised and induced by them that she has removed herself with her children to the said son-in-law's house with some household utensils, jewels &c. the said Lakshmee Royee has proved herself to be quite untrustworthy since Monday last, and thus she cannot be placed in charge of the said amount as the petitioner

Petitioner is confident that she has been ill advised and as the petitioner is fully aware that the amount, if paid to her, would be quite unappropriated for which the petitioner desires to withdraw from his suretyship as he will not be able to furnish this Honourable Court with a true and honest account and the right appropriation of the amount received from the Company as stated in the Security bond executed before the Assistant Magistrate of Bangalore on 2nd May 1905.

Sl 9/A

6 That the petitioner also begs to state that the Certificate itself granted in the name of Lakshmee Boyee may kindly be cancelled as she has lost the respect with which she was regarded by the petitioner and the members of the family and as she is not entitled to the same as she is the widow of the undivided brother of the Petitioner.

7 That

7 That since there are others and minor claimants to be the legal representatives to the Estate in the name of the deceased, the Petitioner and others are filing a suit in the District Court of the Cantonment station, Bangalore, for the declaration of the proper legal claims.

8 That the Petitioner therefore prays that this Honourable Court may be pleased to issue an order to the Company's agent at Bangalore to withhold payment of money unless and until other proper competent security is furnished to the satisfaction of this Honourable Court.

Bangalore
6-7-05.

S/ Suryanarayana Rau

12

R. P. B —2-05.—100.

Proceedings of the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

—:o:—

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rao, late 1st grade Pleader at Honnali—

but now deceased.

Application in file No. 506 of 1904, praying for revocation of the certificate issued under section 17 of the Succession Certificate Act, No. VII of 1889 to Lakshmi Bayi widow of the deceased, & for cancellation of the surety bond given by the petitioner Suryanarayana Rao.

C. Surya Narayana Rao ——— Petitioner.
(by Mr J. L. S. Laborance)

Order

Notice is to issue after granting the certificate to show cause why he shd not find a fresh surety in place of the applicant in this case. And having regard to the terms of sec 130 of the Contract Act & also to 29 Calc. p. 68, I think it is only proper that pending the provision of such security the Insurance Coy shd be directed not to pay over the policy money after granting. An order with issue accordingly.

A. Williams
Resident.

22/7/05.

G. P. B.—6-05.—200

Office 14

RESIDENT'S ~~COURT~~.

Serial No.

File No.

[D R A F T .]—For approval

~~From~~

~~The REGISTRAR,~~
~~Court of the Resident in Mysore,~~
Bangalore.

No 4104 Encane
dt 25th July 1905.

~~To~~ Here enter Resident's order d/ 22-7-05 in file No. 506 of 1904.

A Copy of the foregoing order is forwarded to the Agent to the Oriental Govt. Security Life Assurance Company, (Ld), Bombay, for information in continuation of the Telegram from this office dated 22nd July 1905 (~~Copy of which is enclosed herein~~).

W. 6247
Registrar

22/7/05

8/16

G

Oriental Government Security 1/8/05
Life Assurance Company Limited.
Oriental Buildings.

In all your communications quote No. of Policy 4045

Date of Receipt 1/8/05 Bombay 29th July 1905

File No.

The Registrar
Resident's Office,
Bangalore

Dear Sir,

Pol: No 26862. C.S.Rao Decd

We have received an order dated 28th Inst: issued by the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore confirming your telegram of even date and restraining us from making payment to Lakshmibai widow of the above deceased until further orders of the Resident are received.

Yours faithfully

Manager.

8(17

The first List.

JOHN C. S LAWRANCE, B.A., LL.B.
ADVOCATE & VAKIL.

IN THE Court of the Hoible the
British Resident in Mysore. Jurisdiction
Bangalore

Dis Petition Case No. ——— of ———

C. Suryanarayana Rao Pet.

Versus

Lakshmi Boyi C. Petrs

1 fee Stamp
for one rupee
31.7.05

To

The Registrar of this

Honorable Court.

Sir,

Please receive cash Rs. ——— As. ——— Ps. ——— and Court fee Stamps to the value of Rs. ——— As. ——— and direct the issue of process as per list at foot.

Dated ———

Advocate.

List of persons to be summoned on behalf of ———

to appear on the next day of hearing the 9th August 1905

No.	NAME.	Residence.	Process Fees.	Batta and other charges.	REMARKS.
	Notice to Lakshmi Boyi widow of late C. Subbarao the C. Petitioner residing in the house of Suryanarayana Rao 2nd Chief Siddikatte Bangalore City —		1 "		
		Rs	1 "		
	31/7/05				

9-8-05

19

IN THE COURT OF THE Hon'ble the British Resident in Mysore

File —— Case No. of 190 .

PLAINTIFF. (Petitioner)	vs.	DEFENDANT. Counter-Petitioner
C. Sanjeevaraja Aurs		Lakshmibai

I. I/we Lakshmibai the Counter-Petitioner by these presents retain Mr. C. Vedaji Rao Sahib, B.A., B.L., for me/us and in my/our name to conduct the aforesaid Case.

II. I/we further empower him to execute any decree that may be passed in my/our favor and to recover and receive all and every sums and sum of money or other property and to grant sufficient receipts for the same.

III. And I/we undertake to ratify and confirm all legal acts done or caused to be done by my/our said Advocate in the above case by virtue of these presents in witness whereof I/we have hereunto set my/our hand this 9th day of August 190 5.

O Mark of Lakshmibai

Certified that the party Executing this Power of Attorney in my favor signed it before me and is known to me.

ACCEPTED.

Edgar Rowel
9/8/05

C. Vedaji Rao
Advocate for Counter-Pe

DAILY POST, LTD.—O. N. 255.

General do not of necessity force one the conclusion that they were intended to govern a certain special form of surety ship like the one under consideration. But I see no reason in the present case why sec 130 sub 4 and 5 should govern these classes of sureties. I do not see what likelihood of inconvenience there is from their application. On the contrary if it is once understood that a person who becomes surety under one of these sub sections can under no circumstances be relieved of future liabilities there will be the very important inconvenience that no one will dare to accept the position. For these reasons I propose to follow the Calcutta view & accept this application. Considering however that the granter is unfortunately situated by this default of her kinsmen I think that she may be put to no expense because surety the family I think it.

That the order with costs fixed at Rs 2500 pl

Mrs Court of Wards

Accounts

Certificate

Fl. 21

H/P
24-8-05.

In the court of the Honble the British Resident
at Bangalore.

File No. 506 of 1904.

Petitioner: Lakshmibai. widow
of C. Subbarao.

The Petitioner above named
humbly prays that the securities of
the following persons for a sum of Rs 2500/-
only the account for which security is
needed may be accepted.

1. Patel Subbareddy – Gundlahalli - Mandalam.
Kalikamata. Gorikdamoor
Taluq. Kolar District.

2. Byappa. Son of Malappa - Gundlahalli
Mandalamkalikamata.
Gorikdamoor Taluq.
Kolar District.

3. Bodappa – Son Narappa ~~(Kambala~~
~~Palli~~) residing in Venkata
pura - Palyatta Kamath.
Gorikdamoor Taluq.
Kolar District

Bangalore

Hegade Ramaiah
ADC
24/8/05

Form of security bond under section 9 (1) of Act VII of 1889.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No. of 190 for a certificate under section 17 of Act VII of 1889.

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rau late 1st grade pleader of Honnali but now deceased

Petitioner. Lakshmi Bayi, wd/o of the said C. Subba Rau residing in

Surety.

Know all men by these presents that we Lakshmi Bayi, petitioner

and

residing at

surety, are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rs. ~~2,500~~ two thousand ~~& five hundred~~ ~~and eighteen and annas~~ ~~fourteen~~ only to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore, for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, our, and each of our heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally.

Dated this day of 190 .

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Lakshmi Bayi the grantee of the certificate shall within one year from the grant to her of a certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, exhibit in the office of the said British Resident in Mysore, a full and true account of all moneys received by her on account of Policy No. 26,862 issued by the Oriental Govt. Security Life Assurance Company (Ld), Bombay, and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect, otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

Witnesses.

1 Signature.

2 Signature.

R P B.—2-05.—100.

31-8-05

Presented on 7-11-07 VAS 7/11

RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE.
Register No. 5932
Date of Receipt 7-11-07
File No. 506 of 04

S/11/07

In the Court of the Honorable British Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Mis Case no 506 of 1904

Petitioner

Lakshmi Boyee, widow of C. Subba Rao

The petitioner abovenamed begs to state as follows:-

Rs 20. That on 9th August 1905 this Honorable Court ordered that the order that has been already passed granting the petitioner Succession Certificate will hold good if she finds a sufficient Surety in the sum of Rupees 2500/-. The petitioner now begs to offer the security of Mr B.V. Ramaswamy Chetty Advocate and Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City who has consented to stand surety for her in the sum of Rupees two thousand and five hundred. She prays that the said security may be accepted and that docket be sent to the Oriental Life Assurance Company Limited Bombay intimating that fresh security has been furnished by the petitioner and therefore the assurance amount for which heirship Certificate has been granted may be remitted according to her request.

Bangalore
7th November 1907

25/a

Form of security bond under section 9 (1) of Act VII of 1889.

Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore at Bangalore.

Application in file No. 506 of 1904 for a certificate under section 17 of Act VII of 1889.

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rau late 1st grade Pleader at Honnahalli but now deceased.

Petitioner. – Lakshmi Baiji widow of the said C. Subba Rau residing in —

Surety.

Know all men by these presents that we Lakshmi Baiji, petitioner

and

residing at

surety, are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rs. 2500 — 0 — 0 to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore, for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, our, and each of our heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally.

Dated this day of 190 .

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if the said Lakshmi Baiji the grantee of the certificate shall within one year from the grant to her of a certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, exhibit in the office of the said British Resident in Mysore, a full and true account of all moneys received by her on account of Policy No 26862 issued by the Oriental Govt Security Life Assurance Company (Ltd), Bombay and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect, otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

Witnesses.

1 Signature.

2 Signature.

13-11-07

8/11/07. 9/11

B. T. B.—2-05.—100.

G.R S. 31]



No. Pol 501/2 one 21/12/07

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire., B.A.,

Offg: **Secretary to the Government of His Highnsss the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rev. Depts.**

20/12/07

To

F. P. Rennie, Esquire., I. C. S.,

First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Bangalore the 19th December 1907.

Genl. and Rev. Secretariat.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 6996 dated 14th ultimo regarding the execution of a surety bond by one Lakshmiboyi and her surety Mr. B.V. Ramaswami Chetty, Advocate, Bangalore City, I am directed to forward herewith the surety bond duly executed by the said Lakshmiboyi and her surety Mr. B.V. Ramaswami Chetty and also to state that the surety is reported to possess properties worth Rs. 20,000 and odd.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Offg: Secretary to Government.

GPB—8,000- 14-3-07.

A.V.

5 Rs.



Before the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore /
at Bangalore

Application in file No. 506 of 1904 for a Certificate under Section 17 of Act VII of 1889

In the matter of the estate of C. Subba Rao late 1st Grade Pleader at Honnali but now deceased.

Petitioner. Lakshmi Boyi, widow of the said C. Subba Rao residing in Kolar.

Surety. Mr. B. V. Ramaswamy Chetty, Advocate and Municipal Councillor residing in Gollar Petta, Bangalore City.

Know all men by these presents that we Lakshmi Boyi, petitioner residing at Kolar and Mr. B. V. Ramaswamy Chetty, Advocate and Municipal Councillor residing in Gollar Petta, Bangalore city, surety, are jointly and severally bound to the British Resident in Mysore for the time being, in the sum of Rupees two thousand and five hundred (Rs 2500/-) to be paid to the said British Resident in Mysore, for which payment to be made we bind ourselves and each of us, in the whole, our, and each of our heirs, executors, and administrators, jointly and severally.

Dated this 7th day of December 1907.

Now the condition of the above written bond is such that if Lakshmi Boyi, the grantee of the Certificate shall within one year

8

Sold to Mr. B. V. Ramaswamy Chetty advocate

THE RESIDENT'S TREASURY, BANGALORE
N
1907

M Venkatasawmy
Treasurer
6-12-07

year from the grant to her of a certificate under the Succession Certificate Act, 1889, exhibit- in the office of the said British Resident in Mysore, a full and true account of all moneys received by her on account of Policy No. 26862 issued by the Oriental Government Security Life Assurance Company (Ltd), Bombay, and shall deliver and pay the same unto such person or persons as shall be lawfully entitled thereto, then the above bond shall be void and of no effect, otherwise, it shall remain in full force.

[illegible]

B. Ramaswamy Chetty

Witnessed

1 C. Hanumanthee Rao

H. Suvappa

Signed before me

this day

BANGALORE

7.12.07.

Asst
Accountant

RESIDENT'S OFFICE,
BANGALORE.
Register No. 198
Date of Receipt 11-1-08
File No. 506/04

13/1/08

28

Oriental Government Security
Life Assurance Company, Limited,
Oriental Buildings,
Post Box No 148,

In all your communications quote No. of Policy.

TELEGRAPHIC ADDRESS
"ORIENTAL" BOMBAY.

Bombay, 9th January 1908. 19

The First Assistant,

To the Resident in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

Pol. No. 28382. C. S. Rao, Decd.

We beg to acknowledge receipt of your letter No.88 of the 8th instant intimating that the request conveyed in the office telegram dated 22nd July 1905 and endorsement No. 4104 dated 25th idem asking us not to pay the sum assured under the above Policy may be considered as withdrawn.

Yours faithfully,

(Pr.) Manager.

This may be filed
BR
13/1/08

Office

B.
17/2/08

In the ~~Court~~ of the Honourable
Resident in mysore -
Application No. 506 of 1905-06

Petitioner — Lakshmi Bayee
Counter-petitioner — C Suryanarainarao

Copy may be granted
17.2.08

The Counter-petitioner prays that he may be kindly granted an authenticated copy of the Security Bond executed by Mr. B. V. Ramaswamy chetty Advocate. I further pray that the copy may kindly be furnished this day only as it is required to be produced in the Sub-judge's Court Bangalore in Suit No. 571 of 1907-08 which comes on for hearing to-morrow.

Dated 17th Feb 08

C Suryanarainarao

Receive copy
C Suryanarainarao
17.2.08

In the office of the Honorable
the President in anyose

Application fee Rec'd 5/90

petitioner

The undersigned prays
that he may be permitted
an unmutilated
copy of the order passed
on the Surety's application
that he may be discharged
from his responsibility
and the certificate holder
may be called upon to
furnish fresh security
2
2/3/09

Deputy Sheriff
Kassim Sheriff